

Open Mapping and Closed Graph Theorems

By definition, if $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then

$$U \text{ open in } Y \Rightarrow A^{-1}(U) \text{ open in } X.$$

However, it need not be true that a continuous ~~map~~

function will map an open set in X to an open set in Y .

Example

$f(x) = \sin x$ is a continuous map of \mathbb{R} into \mathbb{R} .

However,

$$f((0, 2\pi)) = [-1, 1].$$

Definition

If X, Y are topological spaces, then $A: X \rightarrow Y$

is an open mapping if

$$U \text{ open in } X \Rightarrow A(U) \text{ open in } Y.$$

Remark

IF A^{-1} exists, then

A is an open mapping $\Leftrightarrow A^{-1}$ is continuous.

Thus if A is a continuous bijection that is an open mapping, then it preserves topologies.

Such a map is called

- a topological isomorphism
- a homeomorphism
- a continuously invertible map

etc.

Open Mapping Theorem

If X, Y are Banach spaces and if

$A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous, linear, surjection,

then A is an open mapping.

Before giving the proof, let us examine some of the consequences of the Open Mapping Theorem.

Corollary: Inverse Mapping Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces. Then

$A: X \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous bijection $\implies A^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous bijection.

In particular, in the case we have that A is a topological isomorphism, & furthermore,

$$\forall x \in X, \quad \frac{\|x\|}{\|A^{-1}\|} \leq \|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|.$$

Proof:

Suppose U is an open subset of X . Then

by the open mapping theorem, & the fact that A is a bijection,

$$(A^{-1})^{-1}(U) = A(U)$$

is an open subset of Y . Hence the preimage under

A^{-1} of an open set is open, which implies that

A^{-1} is continuous.

Finally, $\|Ax\| \leq \|A\| \|x\|$ follows by definition, &

also $\|x\| = \|A^{-1}Ax\| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \|Ax\|$ for every $x \in X$. \square

Exercise

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, and let $A \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$.
Show TFAE.

a. $\exists c > 0$ s.t. $\|Ax\| \geq c\|x\| \quad \forall x \in X$.

b. $\ker(A) = \{0\}$ and $\text{range}(A)$ is closed in Y .

Thus, if A is a continuous bijection of a Banach space X onto a Banach space Y , then X & Y are topologically isomorphic. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 U \text{ open in } X &\iff A(U) \text{ open in } Y \\
 U \text{ open in } Y &\implies A^{-1}(U) \text{ open in } X \\
 x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } X &\iff Ax_n \rightarrow Ax \text{ in } Y \\
 y_n \rightarrow y \text{ in } Y &\implies A^{-1}y_n \rightarrow A^{-1}y \text{ in } X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Suppose that X is a normed vector space that is complete (a Banach space) with respect to ~~two~~ two norms $\|\cdot\|$ & $\|\cdot\|_1$. If $\exists C > 0$ st.

$$\|x\| \leq C \|x\|_1 \quad \forall x \in X,$$

then these norms are equivalent, i.e., $\exists c > 0$ st.

$$c \|x\|_1 \leq \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Proof

Consider the identity map

$$\begin{aligned}
 I: (X, \|\cdot\|_1) &\longrightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|) \\
 x &\longmapsto x.
 \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,

$$\|I(x)\| \leq C \|x\|$$

so I is bounded. But I is a bijection,

so the inverse mapping theorem implies that

$$I^{-1}: (X, \|\cdot\|) \longrightarrow (X, \|\cdot\|)$$

is bounded. Hence

$$\|x\| = \|I^{-1}(I(x))\| \leq \underbrace{\|I^{-1}\|}_{\text{operator norm of } I^{-1}} \|I(x)\|$$

so we have $C \|x\| \leq \|x\| \forall x \in X$ with $C = \frac{1}{\|I^{-1}\|}$. \square

Note that

$$\|I^{-1}\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|I^{-1}x\| = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|x\|$$

Example

$$\text{Consider } I: (\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_1) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$$
$$x \longmapsto x$$

We have

$$\|Ix\|_\infty = \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1,$$

so I is bounded & $\|I\| = 1$.

Now consider

$$\text{I}^{-1}: (\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$$
$$x \longmapsto x$$

We have for $x_n = (1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ that

$$\|I^{-1}x\|_1 = \|x\|_1 = n \quad \text{but} \quad \|x\|_\infty = 1$$

Hence I^{-1} is ~~not~~ unbounded.

Thus, the identity map need not be bounded if we use different norms for the domain & codomain.

Note: $(\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_1)$ is complete
 $(\mathbb{R}^1, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is incomplete.

Exercise

Show that $(C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_p)$ is incomplete for $1 \leq p < \infty$.

Hint: Show $\|\cdot\|_p$ & $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ are not equivalent norms on $C[0,1]$, & apply a preceding corollary.

Exercises

Let X, Y be normed spaces.

a. If $E \subseteq X$ & $c > 0$, then $\overline{cE} = c\overline{E}$, where

$$cE = \{cx : x \in E\}.$$

b. If $E_1, E_2 \subseteq X$, then $\overline{E_1 + E_2} \subseteq \overline{E_1} + \overline{E_2}$ where

$$E_1 + E_2 = \{x_1 + x_2 : x_1 \in E_1, x_2 \in E_2\}.$$

c. If $A: X \rightarrow Y$ & $E_n \subseteq X$, then

$$A(\cup E_n) = \cup A(E_n)$$

d. If $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is linear & $c > 0$, then

$$A(cE) = cA(E)$$

The following lemma forms the main part of the proof of the Open Mapping Theorem.

Lemma

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, & let $A: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous surjection. Then $\exists r > 0$ s.t.

$$B_r^Y(0) \subseteq A(B_1^X(0))$$

Proof:

First we will show that $\overline{A(B_1^X(0))}$ contains an open ball in Y centered at 0. That is, we will show $\exists r > 0$ s.t.

$$B_r^Y(0) \subseteq \overline{A(B_1^X(0))}.$$

To see this, note first that

$$X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^X(0).$$

Since A is surjective, this implies that

$$\begin{aligned} Y = A(X) &= A\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k^X(0)\right) \\ &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A(B_k^X(0)) \\ &\subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \overline{A(B_k^X(0))} \\ &\subseteq Y \end{aligned}$$

But Y is complete, so by the Baire Category Theorem, at least one set $\overline{A(B_k^X(0))}$ must contain an open ball. That is, $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\exists s > 0$, $\exists y \in Y$ s.t.

$$B_s^Y(y) \subseteq \overline{A(B_k^X(0))}.$$

By ~~scaling~~ rescaling & translating, it follows that

$$B_{\frac{s}{2k}}^Y(0) \subseteq \overline{A(B_1^X(0))}.$$

To see this directly, suppose that $z \in B_{\frac{s}{2k}}^Y(0)$, i.e., $\|z\| < \frac{s}{2k}$. Then

$$2kz + y \in B_s^Y(y) \subseteq \overline{A(B_1^X(0))}.$$

Hence $\exists x_n \in X$ with $\|x_n\| < k$ such that

$$Ax_n \longrightarrow 2kz + y.$$

Since A is surjective, we know that $y = Ax$ for

some $x \in X$. ~~Further~~ Further, $y \in B_s^Y(y) \subseteq \overline{A(B_1^X(0))}$

so we can assume that $\|x\| \leq k$ (exercise: fill in details).

Hence

$$A\left(\frac{x_n - x}{2k}\right) = \frac{1}{2k} Ax_n - \frac{1}{2k} y \rightarrow z.$$

Since

$$\left\| \frac{x_n - x}{2k} \right\| \leq \frac{\|x_n\| + \|x\|}{2k} \ll \frac{k + k}{2k} = 1,$$

we conclude that

$$z \in \overline{A(B_x^1(0))}.$$

Thus we have shown that

$$B_r^y(0) \subseteq \overline{A(B_x^1(0))} \quad (*)$$

where $r = s/2k$.

By rescaling, it follows from (*) that

$$B_{r/2^n}^y(0) = \frac{1}{2^n} B_r^y(0)$$

$$\subseteq \frac{1}{2^n} \overline{A(B_x^1(0))}$$

$$= \overline{A\left(\frac{1}{2^n} B_x^1(0)\right)}$$

$$= \overline{A(B_{y/2^n}^x(0))}.$$

We claim next that

$$B_{r/2}^Y(0) \subseteq A(B_r^X(0)).$$

To see this, suppose $y \in B_{r/2}^Y(0)$, i.e., $\|y\| < \frac{r}{2}$.

Since

$$y \in B_{r/2}^Y(0) \subseteq \overline{A(B_{r/2}^X(0))},$$

$\exists x_1 \in B_{r/2}^X(0)$ s.t.

$$\|y - Ax_1\| < \frac{r}{4}.$$

Then

$$y - Ax_1 \in B_{r/4}^Y(0) \subseteq \overline{A(B_{r/4}^X(0))}$$

so $\exists x_2 \in B_{r/4}^X(0)$ s.t.

$$\|(y - Ax_1) - Ax_2\| < \frac{r}{8}.$$

Repeating, we obtain $x_n \in B_{r/2^n}^X(0)$ s.t.

$$\|y - \sum_{k=1}^n Ax_k\| < \frac{r}{2^{n+1}} \quad (**)$$

Let $z_n = \sum_{k=1}^n x_k$. Then by (**),

$$\|y - Az_n\| < \frac{r}{2^{n+1}},$$

so $Az_n \rightarrow y$. Further,

$$\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| = \|x_{n+1}\| < \frac{1}{2^{n+1}}$$

so by an old exercise, $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . But X is complete,

so $\exists z \in X$ such that $z_n \rightarrow z$. Further,

$$\|z\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|z_n\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|$$

~~$$\|z\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|$$~~

~~$$\|z\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \|x_k\|$$~~

$$= \|x_1\| + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=2}^n \|x_k\|$$

$$< \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$= 1.$$

Thus $z \in B_r^x(0)$, so $Az \in A(B_r^x(0))$.

But we have both $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ & $Ax_n \rightarrow Az$

(since $x_n \rightarrow x$ & A is continuous), so

$y = Az \in A(B_r^x(0))$. Thus, we've shown that

$$B_{r/2}^y(0) \subseteq A(B_r^x(0)). \quad \square$$

Remark

By rescaling, it follows under the same hypotheses

that

$$\forall r > 0, \exists s > 0 \text{ s.t. } B_s^y(0) \subseteq A(B_r^x(0)).$$

Open Mapping Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, &
 $A: X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous linear surjection.
Then A is an open mapping.

Proof:

Suppose that $U \subseteq X$ is open, & choose any point
 $y \in A(U)$. Then $y = Ax$ for some $x \in X$, so
 $\exists r > 0$ s.t. $B_r^X(x) \subseteq U$.

By the Lemma, $\exists s > 0$ s.t. $B_s^Y(0) \subseteq A(B_r^X(0))$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} B_s^Y(y) &= B_s^Y(Ax) = B_s^Y(0) + Ax \\ &\subseteq A(B_r^X(0)) + Ax \\ &= A(B_r^X(0) + x) \quad \text{A linear} \\ &= A(B_r^X(x)) \\ &\subseteq A(U). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $A(U)$ is open. \square

Remark

Recall that we proved that if X, Y are Banach spaces, then

$$X \times Y = \{(x, y) : x \in X, y \in Y\}$$

is a Banach space, under any of the equivalent norms

$$\left(\|x\|_X^p + \|y\|_Y^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty$$

$$\max \{ \|x\|_X, \|y\|_Y \}, \quad p = \infty.$$

Consequently,

$$(x_n, y_n) \rightarrow (x, y) \text{ in } X \times Y \iff \begin{array}{l} x_n \rightarrow x \text{ in } X \\ \& y_n \rightarrow y \text{ in } Y \end{array}$$

Closed Graph Theorem

Let X, Y be Banach spaces, & assume $A: X \rightarrow Y$ is linear.

Define

$$\text{graph}(A) = \{(x, Ax) \in X \times Y : x \in X\}.$$

Then:

$$A \text{ is continuous} \iff \text{graph}(A) \text{ is closed in } X \times Y.$$

Remark

$\text{graph}(A)$ is closed if & only if it contains all its limit points. Thus

$$\text{graph}(A) \text{ is closed} \iff \left(\begin{array}{l} x_n \rightarrow x \in X \\ \& Ax_n \rightarrow y \in Y \end{array} \implies y = Ax \right).$$

Proof:

\implies Suppose that A is continuous. Suppose that

$x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ & $Ax_n \rightarrow y \in Y$. Since A is

continuous, $x_n \rightarrow x$ implies $Ax_n \rightarrow Ax$. By

uniqueness of limits, $y = Ax$.

← Suppose that graph (A) is closed in $X \times Y$. Define

$$\| \| x \| \| = \| x \|_X + \| Ax \|_Y = \| (x, Ax) \|_{X \times Y}.$$

We claim that $(X, \| \| \cdot \| \|)$ is a Banach space.

Exercise: $\| \| \cdot \| \|$ is a norm on X .

So, we just have to show that X is complete with respect to $\| \| \cdot \| \|$. Suppose that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy w.r.t. $\| \| \cdot \| \|$. Since

$$\| x_m - x_n \|_Y \leq \| \| x_m - x_n \| \|,$$

we have that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy w.r.t. $\| \cdot \|_Y$.

But $(X, \| \cdot \|_Y)$ is a Banach space, $\exists x \in X$

s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $\| \cdot \|_Y$, i.e., $\| x - x_n \|_Y \rightarrow 0$.

~~But we also have~~

But we also have

$$\| Ax_m - Ax_n \|_Y \leq \| \| x_m - x_n \| \|,$$

so $\{Ax_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y .

Hence $\exists y \in Y$ s.t. $Ax_n \rightarrow y$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_Y$ i.e.,

$$\|y - Ax_n\|_Y \rightarrow 0.$$

By hypothesis, $\text{graph}(A)$ is closed, so we

have $y = Ax$. Therefore

$$\| \|x - x_n\| \| = \|x - x_n\|_X + \|Ax - Ax_n\|_Y$$

$$\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus $x_n \rightarrow x$ w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|$, so $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is complete.

Thus X is complete w.r.t. both $\|\cdot\|_X$ & $\|\cdot\|$, & also

$$\|x\|_X \leq \|x\| \quad \forall x \in X.$$

A corollary to the Inverse Mapping Theorem therefore implies

that $\exists c > 0$ s.t. $c\|x\| \leq \|x\|_X \quad \forall x \in X$. Hence

$$\|Ax\|_Y \leq \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{c} \|x\|_X,$$

so A is bounded. \square

This example shows that the assumption in the Closed Graph Theorem that X is a Banach space is necessary.

Example

Consider $D: (C^1[0,1], \|\cdot\|_\infty) \longrightarrow (C[0,1], \|\cdot\|_\infty)$
 $f \longmapsto f'$

Note that $C^1[0,1] = \{f: [0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f \text{ is differentiable \& } f, f' \in C[0,1]\}$

is not complete under the norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. On the other

hand, $C[0,1]$ is complete w.r.t. $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Further we know

by a previous exercise that D is unbounded. Yet

we claim that D has a closed graph.

To see this, suppose that $f_n \in C^1[0,1]$ are such that

$f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^∞ -norm & $Df_n = f_n' \rightarrow g$ in L^∞ -norm.

Then since $[0,x]$ is a finite interval, we have by the

Uniform Convergence Theorem that

$$\int_0^x f_n'(t) dt \rightarrow \int_0^x g(t) dt.$$

But on the other hand, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus,

$$\int_0^x f_n'(t) dt = f_n(x) - f_n(0) \rightarrow f(x) - f(0).$$

Hence

$$f(x) = \int_0^x g(t) dt + f(0),$$

so $f \in C^1[0,1]$ and $Df = f' = g$. Therefore

D has a closed graph. \square